Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 222967

15

ORIGIN IO-02

INFO OCT-01 ARA-02 ISO-00 /005 R

66011

DRAFTED BY: IO/IEP:RAPOOLE APPROVED BY: IO/IEP:RAPOOLE ARA/MEX:JDREYFUSS SUBS

----- 037615

R 090647Z SEP 76

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

INFO AMEMBASSY MEXICO 0000

CONFIDENTIAL STATE 222967

EO 11652: GDS

TAGS: EGEN PFOR MEX

SUBJECT: COVERAGE OF G-77 CONFERENCE, MEXICO CITY--BACK-GROUND ON COLOMBO NAC

REF: STATE 219540

FOLLOWING SENT ACTION SECSTATE INFO USUN NEW YORK DTD 271330Z AUG 76

QUOTE C O N F I D E N T I A L NEW DELHI 12676

E.O. 11652: GDS TAGS: PFOR, PORG

SUBJECT: MULTILATERAL AFFAIRS: REFLECTIONS ON THE NON-ALIGNED SUMMIT

- 1. SINCE US "DELEGATE" HINES WILL NOT BE RETURNING TO WASHING-TON FOR DEBRIEFING, THE EMBASSY BELIEVES HIS REFLECTIONS ON THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE NON-ALIGNED SUMMIT MEETING WARRANT THIS TELE-GRAPHIC REPORT.
- 2. WHY A SUMMIT?: AN APPRECIATION OF THE COLOMBO SUMMIT CONFERENCE MUST START FROM THE FACT THAT IT HAD NO CONCRETE PURPOSE. FORTY-ODD HEADS OF STATE OR GOVERNMENT AND SIXTY-ODD OTHER CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 222967

DELEGATIONS GATHERED TO DEAL WITH NO PROBLEM, RESOLVE NO ISSUE, EMBARK ON NO NEW COURSE OF ACTION. THE OCCASION FOR THEIR MEETING WAS RATHER IN THE WAY OF A CELEBRATION: AS A NATION CELEBRATES ITS INDEPENDENCE ONCE A YEAR, THE NON-ALIGNED MOVEMENT

MEETS TO CELEBRATE ITSELF EVERY THREE YEARS. IT IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE SPEECHES AND ISSUE DECLARATIONS RECALLING PAST VICTORIES AND RECOMMITTING ONESELF TO GROUP IDEALS. LIKE A FOURTH OF JULY PICNIC, SOME CONTESTS OF STRENGTH TAKE PLACE ON THE SIDE.

- 3. THE PURPOSE OF THE SUMMIT WAS THEN, FIRST, THE REAFFIRMATION OF NON-ALIGHMENT. DESPITE THE PRESENCE OF SOME MEMBERS WHOSE CREDENTIAL ARE SUSPECT, THE GROUP STILL DEFINES ITSELF ESSENTIALLY BY A COMMON INTERNATIONAL STANCE OF SEPARATION FROM THE "BIG POWERS." IN CONTRAST TO THOSE "BIG POWERS," THE NON-ALIGNED ARE AND SEE THEMSELVES AS THE SMALL, THE POWERLESS, AND THE POOR. ANXIOUS TO BE FREE FROM THE RESTRICTIONS IMPOSED BY THE REAL INEQUALITY OF THEIR BILATERAL RELATIONS WITH THE US AND THE USSR, THEY CAME TOGETHER FOR A SECOND PURPOSE: TO IMPRESS THE SUPERPOWERS WITH THE IMPORTANCE OF THEIR NUMBERS AND THE VIGOR OF THEIR POLICY GOALS.
- 4. THREE MAJOR CONCERNS: THREE
 BROAD ISSUES PREOCCUPIED THE
 CONFERENCE PARTICIPANTS: DECONOLONIZATION, DEMILITARIZATION, AND
 THE CONTAINMENT OF ISRAEL.
- (A) DECOLONIZATION: AFRICAN STATES MAKE UP ABOUT HALF THE MEMBERSHIP OF THE MOVEMENT AND THEIR ATTENTION WAS RIVETED ON SOUTHERN AFRICA. THE REST OF THE MEMBERSHIP GAVE THEIR CAUSE SYMPATHETIC AND POSITIVE SUPPORT. ALL OTHER DECOLONIZATION ISSUES WERE OF PERIPHERAL IMPORTANCE, TO A LIMITED EXTENT GAINING LIFE FROM THE REAL PASSIONS AROUSED BY THE STRUGGLE IN SOUTHERN AFRICA
- (B) ISRAEL/PALESTINE: ABOUT ONE FOURTH OF NAM MEMBERS ARE ARAB STATES (SOME STATES COUNTING AS BOTH ARAB AND AFRICAN). WITH THE EXCEPTION OF ALGERIA'S CAMPAIGN ON WESTERN SAHARA, THE SOLE REAL CONCERN OF THE ARAB GROUP WAS THE CONTAINMENT OF ISRAEL AND THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A PALESTINIAN STATE. AS THE AFRICANS WITH THEIR ISSUE, THE ARABS HAD THE SYMPATHETIC SUPPORT OF THE OTHER CONFERENCE PARTICIPANTS.

PAGE 03 STATE 222967

- (C) DEMILITARIZATON: SMALL, POWERLESS, AND POOR, THE NON-ALIGNED ARE STILL CONCERNED THAT MILITARY CONFRONTATION BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND THE SOVIET UNION WILL RESTRICT OR DESTROY THEIR INDEPENDENCE. THEY SEEK TO REDUCE THIS THREAT THROUGH APPLEALS FOR DISARMAMENT, ZONES OF PEACE, AND THE WITHDRAWAL OF MILITARY FORCES BEHIND NATIONAL BOUNDARIES.
- 5. THE CONFERENCE AGENDA, AND THE SCOPE OF THE COLOMBO DECLARATION AND RESOLUTIONS, CAN BE INTERPRETED AS A COMBINATION OF THESE THREE BROAD CONCERNS ON THE ONE HAND AND A PROLIFERATION OF MEMBERS' SPECIAL INTERESTS ON THE OTHER. WHENEVER A SPECIAL INTEREST COULD CAST ITSELF IN THE GUISE OF A BROAD CONCERN (E.G., SAHARA), IT GAINED VIGOR. WHEN IT FAILED TO TOUCH THESE NERVES (E.G. THE

BANGLADESH RIVER WATER ISSUE), IT LANGUISHED. IN EITHER EVENT, THESE SPECIAL INTERESTS WERE OF NO DIRECT CONCERN TO THE BROAD MEMBERSHIP OF THE CONFERENCE. THATINCLUDES THE KOREA QUESTION, PUERTO RICO AND THE CANAL ZONE.

6. TWO ANTAGONISTS, ONE MAJOR AND ONE MINOR: NON-ALIGHMENT IS A MODE OF INTERNATIONAL BEHAVIOR DEFINED IN TERMS OF INDEPENDENCE FROM THEINFLUENCE OF BOTH SUPER POWERS. BUT ANALYSIS OF THE MOVEMENT'S THREE MAJOR CONERNS REVEALS WHY THE UNITED STATES IS IN FACT ITS PRINCIPAL ANTAGONIST. THE US (SOMETIMES IN THE COMPANY OF WESTERN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES) IS SEEN AS THE DEFENDER OF WHITE GOVERNMENTS IN SOUTHERN AFRICA, AS ISRAEL'S VITAL ALLY, AND AS THE SUPERPOWER WITH FOREIGN BASES. WITH THOSE THREE STRIKES AGAINS THE US, IT BECOMES AN IMPOSSIBILITY, IN TERMS OF GROUP DYNAMICS, FOR A CONFERENCE PARTICIPANT TO ESPOUSE AN EXPLICIT PRO-US POSITION ON ANY ISSUE.

7. ECONOMIC CONCERNS ARE NOT A CRITICAL MOTIVATING FORCE BEHIND THE MOVEMENT. HAVING FAILED TO ESTABLISH ANY ECONOMIC STRUCTURES BASED ON THE POLITICS OF NON-ALIGNMENT (E.G., THE NON-ALIGHED SOLIDARITY FUND), THE ECONOMIC INTERESTS OF THE NON-ALIGNED ARE SUBSUMED IN THE ACTIVITIES OF THE GROUP OF 77. BUT ECONOMIC ISSUES ARE TOO IMPORTANT TO THE MEMBERS TO BE OVITED FROM A

SUMMIT AGENDA--INDEED FOR MOST ASIAN STATES THEY ARE MORE IMPORTANT THAN DECOLONIZATION AND ISRAEL. THE CONFERENCE THUS HAD AN ECONOMIC "HALF" WHICH ECHOED MANILA, NAIROBI AND PARIS CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 222967

AND IN GOING SO, AGAIN FOUND IN THE UNITED STATES ITS PRINCIPAL ANTAGONIST.

8. THE HOSTILITY TOWARDS THE UNITED STATES MANIFESTED IN THE NON-ALIGNED MOVEMENT DERIVES THEREFORE FROM THE CON-CERNS WHICH ARE INHERENT TO THE MOVEMENT. WITH THE EXCEPTION OF CERTAIN NAM MEMBERS, THIS HOSTILITY DOES NOT LEAD TO A SENSE OF ALLIANCE WITH THE SOVIET UNION. AS THE SMALL AND POOR OF THE NON-ALIGNED GROPE FOR POWER THROUGH SOLIDARITY AND COOPERATION, THEY ARE SENSITIVE TO CONFLICTS WITH SOVIET INTERESTS AND IN-TENTIONS. SUCH CONFLICTS HAVE ALREADY SURFACED IN AREAS OF DE-MILITARIZATION AND ECONOMIC RELATIONS. IT WAS MOST EVIDENT AT THE CONFERENCE IN THE HANDLING OF THE LANGUAGE OF THE INDIAN OCEAN PEACE ZONE RESOLUTION. AND THE NON-ALIGNED NEWS POOL ASSUMES THAT TASS IS NOT AN ACCEPTABLE ALTERNATIVE TO UPI. NONETHELESS. THE PRESENCE OF SOVIET ALLIES AND THE COMPATIBILITY OF THE SOVIET UNIONS' INTERNATIONAL POSTURE WITH MAJOR NON-ALIGNED GOALS MADE EXPLICIT CRITICISM OF THE USSR IN CONFERENCE TEXTS AN IM-POSSIBILITY.

9. THE LOSS OF CONSENSUS: THE TRIPLING OF NAM MEMBERSHIP, COMBINED WITH THE PROCEDURAL RULE OF NO VOTING, RENDERS DECISION-MAKING AT THE NAC VIRTUALLY IMPOSSIBLE. THE FINDING OF A CON-

SENSUS BECOME LARGELY THE ACQUIESCENSE IN THE DECISIONS OF SMALLER REGIONAL GROUPS OR THE ADOPTION OF THE POSITION OF AN INTERESTED PARTY PROVIDED HE IS A NAM MEMBER AND THE OPPOSING SIDE ON THE ISSUE IS NOT REPRESENTED. WHERE REGIONAL GROUPS WERE SPLIT (BANGLADESH/INDIA, ASEAN/INDO-CHINA, ALGERIA/MOROCCO), THE CONFERENCE TENDED TO RETREAT FROM THE ISSUE, WHICH MIGHT ITSELF FAVOR ONE SIDE OVER THE OTHER.

10. IT WAS IN THIS PROCEDURAL AND ONE MIGHT SAY EMOTIONAL CONTEXT THAT THE STRUGGLE ON SPECIFIC ISSUES BETWEEN THE MODERATE MAJORITY AND THE RADICAL MINORITY WAS PLAYED OUT UNDER THE GUIDING EFFORTS OF THE NAC GIANTS: YUGOSLAVIA, INDIA, SRI LANKA, AND TO A LESSER EXTENT ALGERIA AND PERHAPS EGYPT. THESE FACTORS AFFECTED THE CONFERENCEOUTCOME ON ALL OF THE FOUR ISSUES OF PARTICULAR CONCERN TO THE UNITED STATES.

(A) KOREA: THE NORTH KOREANS HAD THE ADVANTAGE OF AN ISSUE WHICH WAS NOT THE DIRECT CONCERN OF ANY OTHER MEMBER AND WHICH CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 STATE 222967

COULD BE WRAPPED IN THE MANTLE OF DEMILITARIZATON, I.E. THE WITH-DRAWAL OF FOREIGN MILITARY FORCES. THAT THEY RAN INTO ANY RESIST-ANCE AT ALL CAN BE ATTRIBUTED TO (1) EFFECTIVE LOBBYING BY THE US AND OTHERS BEFORE THE NAC AND (2) THE ABILITY OF THE "MODERATES" TO DEFEND SOUTH KOREA WITHOUT HAVING TO DEFEND THE UNITED STATES. DESPITE THE BURST OF OPPOSITION FROM THE NAM'S NORMALLY SILENT MAJORITY, THE DETERMINATION OF THE INTERESTED MEMBER STATE CARRIED THE DAY. THE EXTREME LANGUAGE WITH WHICH NORTH KOREA CHOSE TO EXPRESS ITS POSITION DID NOT REFLECT THE BROAD INCLINATION OF THE NAC MEMBERSHIP.

- (B) PUERTO RICO: HERE THE US WAS IN A TACTICALLY WEAKER POSITION THAN IN THE CASE OF KOREA: ANY OPPONENT TO THE CUBAN POSITION HAD EXPLICITLY TO DEFEND THE UNITED STATES. BUT PRE-CONFERENCE LYOBBYING BY THE US HAD BEEN EFFECTIVE AND THERE WAS WIDESPREAD OPPOSITION TO CUBA'S MORE EXTREME FORMULATIONS. CUBA WAS NONETHELESS ABLE TO RIDE THE WAVE OF DECOLONIZATION SENTIMENT WITH AN ISSUE OF NO DIRECT CONCERN TO OTHER MEMBERS. THERE APPEARED TO BE LITTLE INCLINATION TO REJECT CUBA'S DEMAND THAT THE UN CERTIFY THE PROPER EXERCISE OF THE PUERTO RICAN PEOPLE'S RIGHT TO SELF-DETERINATION.
- (C) PANAMA: HERE AGAIN, THE NAM MEMBER WITH A SPECIAL INTEREST CLEARLY HAD A FREE HAND. THE PANAMANIANS HAD THZJ ADVANTAGE OF LINKING THEIR CAUSE BOTH TO DECOLONIZATION AND TO DEMILITARIZATION. THE CONFERENCE ADOPTED MODERATE LANGUAGE ON THIS ISSUE SOLELY BECAUSE THE PANAMANIAN GOVERNMENT DECIDED THIS WAS IN ITS BEST INTEREST.
- (D) ISRAEL: THE REGIONAL GRUP IMMEDIATELY INVOLVED WAS SHARPLY SPLIT OVER THE QUESTION OF EXPULSION. BOTH SIDES WERE THUS FREE TO SEEK SUPPORT OUTSIDE THE GROUP. THE ANTI-EXPULSION FORCES HAD THE ADVANTAGE OF THE WEIGHT OF NUMBERS AND THE PRE-

CEDENCE OF "STANDING LANGUAGE" FROM THE LIMA CONFERENCE. IN THE FACE OF A SPLIT WITHIN THE REGIONAL GROUP AND WITHIN THE MEMBERSHIP AS A WHOLE, THE CONFERENCE STUCK TO THE MORE CONSERVATIVE FORMULATION.

11. CONCLUSION: FROM A BROADER PERSPECTIVE, THE NON-ALIGNED MOVEMENT IS AN ALLIANCE OF AFRICAN AND ASIAN STATES AGAINST THE DOMINATION OF EUROPEAN STATES (INCLUDING THE OVIET UNION) AND EUROPEAN OFFSPRING STATES (ESPECIALLY THE UNITED STATES BUT ALSO CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 06 STATE 222967

INCLUDING ISRAEL AND SOUTH AFRICA). ITS CULTURAL BIASES ARE EVIDENT IN ITS CONTRADICTORY POSITIONS ON SELF-DETERMINATION FOR THE PEOPLES OF THE FALKLAND ISLANDS, BELIZE, PUERTO RICO, MAYOTTE, SAHARA AND EAST TIMOR. FOR THE SAME REASON IT CAN WELCOME NORTH KOREA AS A MEMBER WHILE TREATING ROMANIA WITH DIFFERENCE. THE MOVEMENT'S CHARACTERISTIC PRESUMPTION IS THAT FREEDOM (AND GOODNESS, TRUTH AND BEAUTY) IS SEPARATION FROM THE WEST. ITS ANTAGONISM TOWARDS THE UNITED STATES MAY WELL DIMINISH OVER THE MEDIUM TO LONG TERM WITH PROGRESS TOWARDS THE RESOLUTION OF SOTHERN AFRICAN AND MIDDLE EASTERN PROBLEMS AND WITH THE EVENTUAL REDUCED INTAKE OF NEW REVOLUTIONARY STATES AS MEMBERS. FOR THE OMENT, HOWEVER, THIS ANTAGONISM IS THE RESULT OF PERCEIVED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AND IS FED BY SOME ROOTS WHICH REACH BELOW REASON. SAXBE UNQUOTE KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 16 SEP 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: NONALIGNED NATIONS MEETINGS, MEETING REPORTS, SUMMIT MEETINGS, RESOLUTIONS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 09 SEP 1976 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: BoyleJA
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976STATE222967

Document Number: 1976STATE222967
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: IO/IEP:RAPOOLE Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A

Errors: n/a

Film Number: D760340-0887

From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t197609108/baaaepzv.tel Line Count: 246 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM

Office: ORIGIN IO

Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 5

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: BoyleJA

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 21 APR 2004

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <21 APR 2004 by CollinP0>; APPROVED <16 AUG 2004 by BoyleJA>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MÁY 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: COVERAGE OF G-77 CONFERENCE, MEXICO CITY--BACK-TAGS: EGEN, PFOR, MX, US, (HINES, RICHARD)

To: n/a INFO MEXICO

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006